A Metric Extraction Framework Based on a High-Level Description

Language

El Hachemi Alikacem



Houari Sahraoui



SCAM 2009, Edmonton, Alberta

Introduction

Metrics are powerful support tools in software development.

They are used in several fields in software engineering.

Existing Tools Limitations

- Existing tools are not flexible enough. This is due to:
 - A lack in formalization
 - An inability of extension to new metrics

Proposition

• We propose:

- A generic tool to collect metrics from OO programs
- An approach based on a language for metric description

Goals to be reached :

- Multi-language capability
- Easy way to define new metrics
- Simple and easy to use metric description language

Architecture of the Metric Extraction Framework



Code Representation Meta-Model

- Representation of the common concepts in OO languages
- Representation of a specific concept of languages (e.g. Java, C++)
- Explicit representation of the semantic of certain concepts. Mainly, common concepts with variation in semantic:
 - Inheritance,
 - Use/Def relationship,
 - Method invocation

Meta-Model



Generation of the Source Code Representation

- The representation is generated by the *Parsing* & *Mapping (P&M)* module
- P&M module is language specific. When a new language is considered, a corresponding P&M module must be implemented.



Description and Metric Gathering

Huge number of metrics have been proposed in the literature.

We classified them in four categories :

- Size/Complexity
- Inheritance
- Coupling
- Cohesion

Examples

CLS	Number of the classes in the system
NBTF	Number of files
NIC	Number of independent classes
NOC	Number of children (sub-classes)
NOP	Number of parents (super-classes)
NOA	Number of Ancestors
NMA	Number of new Method
CIS	Class Interface Size
CLD	Class to leaf depth
DIT	Depth in inheritance tree
RFC	Response for class
LCOM	Lack in cohesion

Examples (2)

ACAIC: Ancestor class-attribute import coupling

$$ACAIC(c) = \left| \left\{ a \middle| a \in A_I(c) \land T(a) \in Ancestors(c) \right\} \right|$$

DCAEC : Descendants class-attribute export coupling

$$DCAEC(c) = \sum_{c' \in Descendents(c)} \left| \left\{ a \middle| a \in A_I(c') \land T(a) = c \right\} \right|$$



Metrics are computed using data from the representation model.



Metric Description Language

- A language that offers the ability to manipulate data in the model using:
 - Primitives: Base sets extracted from the code source representation, such as <u>classes()</u> and <u>methods(c)</u>.

Operations

- Operations On numbers and sets (+, *, < , >, union, intersection, etc.)
- Common functions (min, max, sum, etc.)
- Cardinality operation used to compute size of sets. The notation of this operation is a set put between "/" symbol.

Metric Description Language

Iterator: It enables the manipulation of set's elements. Simplified syntax is :

forAll (x : inputSet ; condition ; **SET** operator expression)

 Property Access: Access to the object properties defined in the meta-model
Access to an attribute. For example *c.visibility*

Examples

CLS : Number of classes in the System	CLS = classes()
NIC : Number of Independent Class	NIC = forAll(x:classe(); parent(x) == 0 && $ children(x) == 0; SET + = x) $
CIS(c): Class Interface size	CIS(c) = forAll(x : methods(c); x.visibility == PUBLIC; SET + = x)
AID: Average inheritance depth	$AID = \frac{sum(forAll(x:classe(); ;SET + = DIT(x)))}{CLS}$
ACAIC: Ancestor class- attribute import coupling	$ACAIC(c:class): forAll(a:attributes(c); \\ isNew(a) \& \& typeof(a) \in ancestors(c); SET + = a) $

Conclusion

Characteristics of our description language:

- It has very few syntactic constructions
- It is simple and does not require any specific knowledge
- The metric description is close to its definition in the specification
- More than 35 metrics are currently collected using the tool
- Java language is completely supported. Experimentation with C++ programs was also performed.

For "Controversial" Discussion

In many papers, people claim that their tools are language-independent.

Is this realistic ? Feasible ?

Or, should we accept (restrictive) limitations !?

Finally, why not language-dependent tools?