Chopping Concurrent Programs

Dennis Giffhorn

Universität Karlsruhe (TH), Germany



D. Giffhorn (Univ. Karlsruhe)

Chopping Concurrent Programs

May statement s influence statement t?

• *chop*(*s*, *t*) contains all statements which may convey effects from s to t



• Intuitively: $chop(s, t) = forward \ slice(s) \cap backward \ slice(t)$

May statement s influence statement t?

• *chop*(*s*, *t*) contains all statements which may convey effects from s to t



• Intuitively: $chop(s, t) = forward \ slice(s) \cap backward \ slice(t)$



- Main application: preprocessing step
- The more precise the chop,
 - the more precise is the main analysis
 - the faster is the main analysis

- Main application: preprocessing step
- The more precise the chop,
 - the more precise is the main analysis
 - the faster is the main analysis
- When we started our work
 - Precise chopping algorithms for seq. programs
 - No algorithm for conc. programs at all

• Distinguish different calls of the same procedure



SCAM'09 4 / 8

• Distinguish different calls of the same procedure



4/8

• Distinguish different calls of the same procedure





• Distinguish different calls of the same procedure



• Distinguish different calls of the same procedure



- Solved for sequential programs [*Reps and Rosay, FSE 1995*]
 ⇒ Extension to concurrent programs
- Resulting algorithm has same asymptotic running time: O(|Edges| * MaxParams)

Distinguish different interleavings between threads

```
Example: chop(4,3)

1 int x,y;

2 void thread1()

3 int a = y;

4 x = a;

5 void thread2()

6 int p = x;

7 y = p;
```

∃ ⊳

D. Giffhorn (Univ. Karlsruhe)

Distinguish different interleavings between threads





Distinguish different interleavings between threads



Distinguish different interleavings between threads

```
Example: chop(4,3)

1 int x,y;

2 void thread1()

3 int a = y;

4 x = a;

5 void thread2()

6 int p = x;

7 y = p;
```

• Distinguish different interleavings between threads



- Extension of time-sensitive slicing ([Krinke, FSE '03], [Nanda and Ramesh, TOPLAS '06]) to time-sensitive chopping
- Same asymptotic running time as time-sensitive slicing: $O(|Nodes|^{(maximal \ call \ depth)|threads|})$

Evaluation – Average number of nodes per chop

| Name | (nodes, edges, threads) | | CS | TS |
|----------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Logger | (9576, 50800, 2) | 985 | 967 | 796 |
| Maza | (10590, 60021, 2) | 1543 | 1153 | 798 |
| Barcode | (11025, 67849, 2) | 711 | 541 | 469 |
| Guitar | (13459, 89724, 2) | 1734 | 1606 | 1476 |
| J2MESafe | (15666, 127922, 2) | 4027 | 3611 | 2423 |
| Podcast | (23399, 191849, 3) | 10423 | 10400 | 2310 |

- Context-sensitive chops up to 25% smaller, on average 10%
- Time-sensitive chops up to 80% smaller, on average 35%

Evaluation – Average number of nodes per chop

| Name | (nodes, edges, threads) | | CS | TS |
|----------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Logger | (9576, 50800, 2) | 985 | 967 | 796 |
| Maza | (10590, 60021, 2) | 1543 | 1153 | 798 |
| Barcode | (11025, 67849, 2) | 711 | 541 | 469 |
| Guitar | (13459, 89724, 2) | 1734 | 1606 | 1476 |
| J2MESafe | (15666, 127922, 2) | 4027 | 3611 | 2423 |
| Podcast | (23399, 191849, 3) | 10423 | 10400 | 2310 |

- Context-sensitive chops up to 25% smaller, on average 10%
- Time-sensitive chops up to 80% smaller, on average 35%

Evaluation – Average number of nodes per chop

| Name | (nodes, edges, threads) | | CS | TS |
|----------|-------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Logger | (9576, 50800, 2) | 985 | 967 | 796 |
| Maza | (10590, 60021, 2) | 1543 | 1153 | 798 |
| Barcode | (11025, 67849, 2) | 711 | 541 | 469 |
| Guitar | (13459, 89724, 2) | 1734 | 1606 | 1476 |
| J2MESafe | (15666, 127922, 2) | 4027 | 3611 | 2423 |
| Podcast | (23399, 191849, 3) | 10423 | 10400 | 2310 |

- Context-sensitive chops up to 25% smaller, on average 10%
- Time-sensitive chops up to 80% smaller, on average 35%

Evaluation – Average time per chop in msec.

| Name | (nodes, edges, threads) | | CS | TS |
|----------|-------------------------|------|-------|--------|
| Logger | (9576, 50800, 2) | 14.5 | 31.6 | 77.9 |
| Maza | (10590, 60021, 2) | 25.9 | 53.6 | 2568.0 |
| Barcode | (11025, 67849, 2) | 14.8 | 16.6 | 88.2 |
| Guitar | (13459, 89724, 2) | 37.7 | 59.9 | 551.2 |
| J2MESafe | (15666, 127922, 2) | 60.4 | 180.0 | 7637.8 |
| Podcast | (23399, 191849, 3) | 56.1 | 283.7 | 9039.2 |

CS chops up to 5 times slower, on average 3 times slower

TS chops up to 161 times slower, on average 95 times slower

There is even more precision to gain (e.g. synchronization)

- Costs would further explode
- Algorithms are difficult to implement by now
- ⇒ People tend to use intersection-based chopping
 - How can we benefit from this huge increase of precision in practice?